

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/918,185	YAUNG, ALAN TSU-I	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lilian Vo	2195	

All Participants:

(1) Lilian Vo.

(2) David Victor.

Status of Application: RCE

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 December 2006

Time: 3pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 11, 12, 17, 27, 28, 33, 43, 44 and 49 - 51

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's attorney Mr. Victor authorized an examiner's amendment to amend claims 1, 17 and 33 to incorporate the allowable subject matter and delete claims 11, 27, 43 and 49 - 51.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


MENG-AL T. AN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 INNOVATION CENTER 2100

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)